

Please read the [usage disclaimer](#) for Course Evaluations.

---

*Engineering*  
*Fall Quarter 2003*

# ENGR 19

## Technological Ethics

---

### Course Information

**Section Number:** 35240  
**Instructor:** Quinn Jr,Neil R  
**Location:** BANNA 105  
**Class times:** : - :  
**Class Days:** TR  
**Credits (hi/lo):** 4.00 - 4.00  
**Enrollment:** 27

---

### Student Responses:

Questions 1 through 11 are rated on a 5-point scale which described the instructor's teaching procedures:

- 1 low
- 5 high

-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	Total	Mean	Variance	StdDev
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-------	------	----------	--------

1. The instructor presents class material clearly

0	0	2	7	18	27	4.59	0.39	0.62
---	---	---	---	----	----	------	------	------

2. The course is well organized

0	0	2	7	18	27	4.59	0.39	0.62
---	---	---	---	----	----	------	------	------

3. The instructor appears well prepared for class

0	0	1	6	18	25	4.68	0.30	0.55
---	---	---	---	----	----	------	------	------

4. The instructor demonstrates an interest in students

0 0 5 7 13 25 4.32 0.62 0.79

5. The instructor is available to students during posted office hours (*Respond only if you have tried to contact the instructor during office hours*)

0 0 1 0 10 11 4.82 0.33 0.57

6. The instructor takes care to assure him/herself by tests, questions, discussions, etc. that the students are comprehending the subject

0 2 8 6 9 25 3.88 0.99 0.99

7. The instructor appears to be knowledgeable in the subject

0 0 0 8 17 25 4.68 0.22 0.47

8. The instructor appears to enjoy teaching

0 0 0 7 19 26 4.73 0.20 0.44

9. The instructor encourages the students to ask questions and/or express opinions

0 0 5 6 15 26 4.38 0.62 0.79

10. The course has contributed to my capacity for critical evaluation

0 2 4 10 10 26 4.08 0.84 0.92

11. The course has stimulated me to do independent thinking

0 2 6 8 9 25 3.96 0.92 0.96

.....

**Questions 13 through 17 use different scales. The response scale is listed above each question.**

12. The work load for this course in relation to other courses I have taken at this university is:

much about much  
lighter lighter same heavier heavier Total Mean Variance StdDev

2 11 9 2 1 25 2.56 0.81 0.90

13. My college at Santa Clara is:

science human. engnr. business

3 1 18 3 25 2.84 0.61 0.78

14. My status at Santa Clara is:

junior frosh  
other grad sr. soph

0 1 5 19 25 3.72 0.28 0.53

15. My primary reason for taking this course was:

req'd electv req'd  
free non- for major  
electv major reqm't course

0 6 16 3 25 2.88 0.35 0.59

16. My cumulative Santa Clara grade point average is:

below 2.79 3.29 4.0  
2.3 to 2.3 to 2.8 to 3.3

1 3 9 11 24 3.25 0.69 0.83

17. What percentage of class time were you in attendance?

below 74% 89% 100%  
50% to 50% to 75% to 90%

0 0 1 20 21 3.95 0.05 0.21

Number of response cards read: 27

---

## Notes:

- *None.*

---

[SCU Homepage](#) | [Course Evaluations page](#) | [Search database](#) | [General Help](#)

03-04 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

02-03 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

01-02 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

00-01 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

99-00 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

98-99 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

97-98 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

96-97 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

95-96 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

94-95 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

---

Maintainer: [Course Evaluations Manager](#)

Last changed: 02 March 2004

© Copyright 1995-2004 Santa Clara University