

Please read the [usage disclaimer](#) for Course Evaluations.

---

*Computer Engineering*  
*Winter Quarter 2004*

# COEN 288

## Software Ethics

---

### Course Information

**Section Number:** 37900  
**Instructor:** Quinn Jr,Neil R  
**Location:** BANNA 326  
**Class times:** : - :  
**Class Days:** W  
**Credits (hi/lo):** 2.00 - 2.00  
**Enrollment:** 35

---

### Student Responses:

Questions 1 through 11 are rated on a 5-point scale which described the instructor's teaching procedures:

- 1 low
- 5 high

-1- -2- -3- -4- -5- Total Mean Variance StdDev

1. The instructor presents class material clearly

0 1 0 5 26 32 4.75 0.38 0.61

2. The course is well organized

0 1 0 8 22 31 4.65 0.42 0.65

3. The instructor appears well prepared for class

0 1 0 8 23 32 4.66 0.41 0.64

4. The instructor demonstrates an interest in students

0 1 1 5 24 31 4.68 0.48 0.69

5. The instructor is available to students during posted office hours (*Respond only if you have tried to contact the instructor during office hours*)

0 2 1 2 6 11 4.09 1.36 1.16

6. The instructor takes care to assure him/herself by tests, questions, discussions, etc. that the students are comprehending the subject

1 1 3 9 18 32 4.31 0.96 0.98

7. The instructor appears to be knowledgeable in the subject

0 1 1 6 22 30 4.63 0.50 0.71

8. The instructor appears to enjoy teaching

0 1 1 4 24 30 4.70 0.48 0.69

9. The instructor encourages the students to ask questions and/or express opinions

0 2 1 2 25 30 4.67 0.69 0.83

10. The course has contributed to my capacity for critical evaluation

0 2 2 14 11 29 4.17 0.69 0.83

11. The course has stimulated me to do independent thinking

0 1 5 10 13 29 4.21 0.72 0.85

.....

**Questions 13 through 17 use different scales. The response scale is listed above each question.**

12. The work load for this course in relation to other courses I have taken at this university is:

much about much  
lighter lighter same heavier heavier Total Mean Variance StdDev

0 8 18 4 0 30 2.87 0.38 0.62

13. My college at Santa Clara is:

science human. engnr. business

0 1 27 1 29 3.00 0.07 0.26

14. My status at Santa Clara is:

junior frosh  
other grad sr. soph

0 28 0 2 30 2.13 0.25 0.50

15. My primary reason for taking this course was:

req'd electv req'd  
free non- for major  
electv major reqm't course

0 0 3 25 28 3.89 0.10 0.31

16. My cumulative Santa Clara grade point average is:

below 2.79 3.29 4.0  
2.3 to 2.3 to 2.8 to 3.3

0 1 4 22 27 3.78 0.25 0.50

17. What percentage of class time were you in attendance?

below 74% 89% 100%  
50% to 50% to 75% to 90%

0 0 0 25 25 4.00 0.00 0.00

Number of response cards read: 32

---

**Notes:**

- *None.*

---

[SCU Homepage](#) | [Course Evaluations page](#) | [Search database](#) | [General Help](#)

**03-04 Evaluations:** [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)  
**02-03 Evaluations:** [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)  
**01-02 Evaluations:** [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)  
**00-01 Evaluations:** [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)  
**99-00 Evaluations:** [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)  
**98-99 Evaluations:** [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)  
**97-98 Evaluations:** [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)  
**96-97 Evaluations:** [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)  
**95-96 Evaluations:** [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)  
**94-95 Evaluations:** [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

---

Maintainer: [Course Evaluations Manager](#)

Last changed: 16 July 2004

© Copyright 1995-2004 Santa Clara University