

Please read the [usage disclaimer](#) for Course Evaluations.

Engineering
Fall Quarter 2003

ENGR 301

Ethics in Technology

Course Information

Section Number: 35714
Instructor: Quinn Jr,Neil R
Location:
Class times: : - :
Class Days: TR
Credits (hi/lo): 4.00 - 4.00
Enrollment: 7

Student Responses:

Questions 1 through 11 are rated on a 5-point scale which described the instructor's teaching procedures:

- 1 low
- 5 high

-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	Total	Mean	Variance	StdDev
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-------	------	----------	--------

1. The instructor presents class material clearly

0	0	0	2	4	6	4.67	0.22	0.47
---	---	---	---	---	---	------	------	------

2. The course is well organized

0	0	0	2	4	6	4.67	0.22	0.47
---	---	---	---	---	---	------	------	------

3. The instructor appears well prepared for class

0	0	0	1	5	6	4.83	0.14	0.37
---	---	---	---	---	---	------	------	------

4. The instructor demonstrates an interest in students

0 0 0 3 3 6 4.50 0.25 0.50

5. The instructor is available to students during posted office hours (*Respond only if you have tried to contact the instructor during office hours*)

0 0 0 0 2 2 5.00 0.00 0.00

6. The instructor takes care to assure him/herself by tests, questions, discussions, etc. that the students are comprehending the subject

0 0 3 1 2 6 3.83 0.81 0.90

7. The instructor appears to be knowledgeable in the subject

0 0 0 2 4 6 4.67 0.22 0.47

8. The instructor appears to enjoy teaching

0 0 1 0 5 6 4.67 0.56 0.75

9. The instructor encourages the students to ask questions and/or express opinions

0 0 1 2 3 6 4.33 0.56 0.75

10. The course has contributed to my capacity for critical evaluation

0 0 2 3 1 6 3.83 0.47 0.69

11. The course has stimulated me to do independent thinking

0 0 1 4 1 6 4.00 0.33 0.58

.....

Questions 13 through 17 use different scales. The response scale is listed above each question.

12. The work load for this course in relation to other courses I have taken at this university is:

much about much
lighter lighter same heavier heavier Total Mean Variance StdDev

0 1 2 2 1 6 3.50 0.92 0.96

13. My college at Santa Clara is:

science human. engnr. business

0 1 5 0 6 2.83 0.14 0.37

14. My status at Santa Clara is:

junior frosh
other grad sr. soph

0 5 0 1 6 2.33 0.56 0.75

15. My primary reason for taking this course was:

req'd electv req'd
free non- for major
electv major reqm't course

1 1 3 1 6 2.67 0.89 0.94

16. My cumulative Santa Clara grade point average is:

below 2.79 3.29 4.0
2.3 to 2.3 to 2.8 to 3.3

0 0 2 4 6 3.67 0.22 0.47

17. What percentage of class time were you in attendance?

below 74% 89% 100%
50% to 50% to 75% to 90%

0 0 0 5 5 4.00 0.00 0.00

Number of response cards read: 6

Notes:

- *None.*

[SCU Homepage](#) | [Course Evaluations page](#) | [Search database](#) | [General Help](#)

03-04 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

02-03 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

01-02 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

00-01 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

99-00 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

98-99 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

97-98 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

96-97 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

95-96 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

94-95 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

Maintainer: [Course Evaluations Manager](#)

Last changed: 02 March 2004

© Copyright 1995-2004 Santa Clara University