

Please read the [usage disclaimer](#) for Course Evaluations.

*Engineering
Winter Quarter 2004*

ENGR 301

Ethics in Technology

Course Information

Section Number: 37943
Instructor: Quinn Jr,Neil R
Location:
Class times: : - :
Class Days: MW
Credits (hi/lo): 4.00 - 4.00
Enrollment: 28

The numerical data you are viewing reflects the combination of data from this course and other courses. Please see the [Notes](#) section at the end of this document for more information.

Student Responses:

Questions 1 through 11 are rated on a 5-point scale which described the instructor's teaching procedures:

- 1 low
- 5 high

-1- -2- -3- -4- -5- Total Mean Variance StdDev

1. The instructor presents class material clearly

0 0 1 5 21 27 4.74 0.27 0.52

2. The course is well organized

0 0 1 5 21 27 4.74 0.27 0.52

3. The instructor appears well prepared for class

0 0 1 1 25 27 4.89 0.17 0.42

4. The instructor demonstrates an interest in students

0 0 0 5 22 27 4.81 0.15 0.39

5. The instructor is available to students during posted office hours (*Respond only if you have tried to contact the instructor during office hours*)

0 0 0 1 14 15 4.93 0.06 0.25

6. The instructor takes care to assure him/herself by tests, questions, discussions, etc. that the students are comprehending the subject

0 0 4 6 16 26 4.46 0.56 0.75

7. The instructor appears to be knowledgeable in the subject

0 0 1 1 23 25 4.88 0.19 0.43

8. The instructor appears to enjoy teaching

0 0 1 1 23 25 4.88 0.19 0.43

9. The instructor encourages the students to ask questions and/or express opinions

0 0 1 5 20 26 4.73 0.27 0.52

10. The course has contributed to my capacity for critical evaluation

1 0 1 8 15 25 4.44 0.81 0.90

11. The course has stimulated me to do independent thinking

0 1 6 6 12 25 4.16 0.85 0.92

.....

Questions 13 through 17 use different scales. The response scale is listed above each question.

12. The work load for this course in relation to other courses I have taken at this university is:

much about much
lighter lighter same heavier heavier Total Mean Variance StdDev

1 12 10 1 0 24 2.46 0.41 0.64

13. My college at Santa Clara is:

science human. engnr. business

3 1 21 0 25 2.72 0.44 0.66

14. My status at Santa Clara is:

junior frosh
other grad sr. soph
0 5 12 8 25 3.12 0.51 0.71

15. My primary reason for taking this course was:

req'd electv req'd
free non- for major
electv major reqm't course
1 4 13 7 25 3.04 0.60 0.77

16. My cumulative Santa Clara grade point average is:

below 2.79 3.29 4.0
2.3 to 2.3 to 2.8 to 3.3
2 3 6 12 23 3.22 0.95 0.98

17. What percentage of class time were you in attendance?

below 74% 89% 100%
50% to 50% to 75% to 90%
0 0 0 20 20 4.00 0.00 0.00

Number of response cards read: 27

Notes:

- **Combined results:**

The results you are viewing reflect the combination of two or more courses. Usually, this is due to cross-listings or lab courses. The courses that were combined to give the data above are:

- ENGR 19 [#36440]: Technological Ethics *taught by* Quinn Jr,Neil R
- ENGR 301 [#37943]: Ethics in Technology *taught by* Quinn Jr,Neil R

[SCU Homepage](#) | [Course Evaluations page](#) | [Search database](#) | [General Help](#)

03-04 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | Summer
02-03 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)
01-02 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)
00-01 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)
99-00 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)
98-99 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

97-98 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

96-97 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

95-96 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

94-95 Evaluations: [Fall](#) | [Winter](#) | [Spring](#) | [Summer](#)

Maintainer: [Course Evaluations Manager](#)

Last changed: 16 July 2004

© Copyright 1995-2004 Santa Clara University